

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,344	12/17/2001	Hirokazu Miwa	0941.66061	7994	
7590 10/26/2005			EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			LAO, LUN YI		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South Wacker Dr.			2677		
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/023,344	MIWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LUN-YI LAO	2677	

	LUN-YI LAO	2677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completellowing time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as fi wing replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with iance with 37 CFR 1	ling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid at amendment, affidavit, or other evid appeal fee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing da</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).</li> </ul>	sory Action, or (2) the d in SIX MONTHS from t	ne mailing date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding ar tutory period for reply or	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension iginally set in the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ktension thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or sow);	earch (see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		per of finally rejected claims.	
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>	21. See attached No		,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Alone			explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-6</u> .		,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons	why the affidavit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejectio	ns under appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the	e claims after entry is below or attac	ched.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		*	nce because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTC	,	
		Lun-Yi Lao Primary Examine	r ·
		Lu Y	En

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)



## Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation wherein control of the number of driving devices is made with the use of a switch signal cited in claim 1 raises new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the combination of the references(Bennett et al and Maekawa et a) meet the limitation of the same plurality of driving devices that are used together simultaneously to increase driving capability are the disposed on the same side of the data signal lines cited in claim 1(see final rejection). The final rejection is a new ground rejection because claims 5-6 is a new graound rejection and applicants' argument provided on pages 4-7 of the Amendment C has been considered by the examiner(see pargargraph #7 of the final rejection).